1	Brian C. Rocca, S.B. #221576	Glenn D. Pomerantz, S.B. #112503
	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
2	Sujal J. Shah, S.B. #215230	Kuruvilla Olasa, S.B. #281509
_	sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com
3	Michelle Park Chiu, S.B. #248421	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
,	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor
4	Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B. #259005	Los Angeles, California 90071
5	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com Rishi P. Satia, S.B. #301958	Telephone: (213) 683-9100
	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com	Kyle W. Mach, S.B. #282090
6	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	kyle.mach@mto.com
٦	One Market, Spear Street Tower	Justin P. Raphael, S.B. #292380
7	San Francisco, CA 94105	justin.raphael@mto.com
0	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	Emily C. Curran-Huberty, S.B. #293065
8		emily.curran-huberty@mto.com
	Richard S. Taffet, pro hac vice	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
9	richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Floor
10	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	San Francisco, California 94105
10	101 Park Avenue	Telephone: (415) 512-4000
11	New York, NY 10178	T 41 T TZ ' 1 '
11	Telephone: (212) 309-6000	Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice
12	I C'	jonathan.kravis@mto.com
14	Ian Simmons, pro hac vice	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
13	isimmons@omm.com	601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E
13	Benjamin G. Bradshaw, S.B. #189925	Washington, D.C. 20001
14	bbradshaw@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Telephone: (202) 220-1100
17	1625 Eye Street, NW	Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802
15	Washington, DC 20006	dpetrocelli@omm.com
15	Telephone: (202) 383-5300	Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481
16	Telephone. (202) 303-3300	smcintyre@omm.com
	Attorneys for Defendants	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
17	Thorneys for Defendants	1999 Avenue of the Stars
-		Los Angeles, California 90067
18		Telephone: (310) 553-6700
		1010pnone: (210) 223 0700
19		
	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
20		
	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
21		
	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
22		
	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
23	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case 110. 3.21-1114-02701-JD
	ANTIROST ETHOATION	DEFENDANTS' ANSWERS AND
24	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	DEFENDANTS ANSWERS AND DEFENSES TO DEVELOPER
ا ہ	THIS DOCUMENT NELLTIES TO.	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED
25	In re Google Play Developer Antitrust	COMPLAINT
,	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD	
26	2	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
,,		
27		

## 

## INTRODUCTION

Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively "Google") answer Developer Class Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint ("Complaint"), through its undersigned counsel, as set forth below.

Android, Google's open-source mobile operating system ("OS"), is a critical source of competition against other operating systems, such as Apple's iOS. This competition has brought tremendous benefits to developers and users. By providing Android to smartphone manufacturers for free, Google has expanded access to smartphones and the marketplace for mobile apps, creating enormous incentives for developers to invest in apps that make virtually every sector of the American economy more efficient, affordable and accessible for users. These benefits have typically come at little or no cost to manufacturers, developers, or users. For example, Google provides developers with e-learning opportunities, free tools for developers to effectively build apps for Android devices, testing and monitoring tools, discoverability, and a global digital payment infrastructure to enable developers to transact with users using the most effective payment methods regardless of where the developers or users are located.

Google also offers an app store, Google Play, which works on Android. But unlike competitors like Apple, Google does not require Android users or developers to use Google Play in order to download, install, or distribute apps on Android. Rather, Google gives app developers and smartphone consumers more openness and choice than any other major competitor. Most Android phones in and outside the United States come preloaded with more than one app store, and consumers can download apps directly from a developer's website if they choose via sideloading. Developers can also directly reach users through web apps—a distribution channel that Android and Google's Chrome web browser embrace. Consumers and developers don't *have* to use Google Play, they *choose* to use it when given a choice among Android app stores and distribution channels. Google supports that choice through Android itself, Google Play's policies, and Google's agreements with developers and device manufacturers.

## RESPONSE TO NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS

The section headings in the Complaint do not require a response. To the extent that the section headings contain allegations requiring a response, Google denies all such allegations.

- 1. Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively "Google") deny the allegations in Paragraph 1, except admits that native applications ("apps") increase the enjoyment of smartphones and tablets and that many developers invest in creating useful apps. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 2. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 2, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 3. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 3, except admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring claims under the Sherman Act and under California law.
- 4. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 4, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 5. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 5.
- 6. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 6, except admits that one or more defendants have entered into agreements called Mobile Application and Distribution Agreements ("MADAs") with some OEM partners, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 7. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 7, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 8. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 8, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 9. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 9, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 10. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.

13

14

20

25

26

27

- 11. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 11, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 12. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 12, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 13. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 13, and avers that multiple app stores and access points to apps exist, as users can and do multi-home in accessing apps.
- 14. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 14, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. Google admits that one or more defendants receive a payment for in-app purchases with respect to apps distributed through Google Play, and charges up to 30% as a service fee. Google avers that beginning on January 1, 2018, the service fee on subscriptions was reduced from 30% to 15% in the second year, and that beginning on January 1, 2022, the service fee on such subscriptions was reduced to 15% from day one of the subscription. Google further avers that beginning on July 1, 2021, the service fee was reduced to 15% for the first \$1 million of developer earnings on digital goods or services every developer earns each year.
- 15. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 15, except admits that one or more defendants receive a payment for in-app purchases with respect to apps distributed through Google Play, and charge up to 30% as a service fee. Google avers that beginning on January 1, 2018, the service fee on subscriptions was reduced from 30% to 15% in the second year, and that beginning on January 1, 2022, the service fee on such subscriptions was reduced to 15% from day one of the subscription. Google further avers that beginning on July 1, 2021, the service fee was reduced to 15% for the first \$1 million of developer earnings on digital goods or services every developer earns each year.
  - 16. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 16.
- Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 17, and respectfully refers the Court to 17. the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.

- 18. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 18, except admits that one or more defendants operate the Chrome Web Store and that the Chrome Web Store faces competition, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations about Epic's service fee on the Epic Games Store.
  - 19. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 19.
  - 20. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 20.
  - 21. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 21.
- 22. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 22, except admits that Plaintiffs seek monetary compensation and injunctive relief from this Court.
- 23. The allegations in Paragraph 23 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or denial. To the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 23, except admits that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action.
- 24. The allegations in Paragraph 24 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or denial. To the extent a response is required, Google does not dispute—for purposes of this action only—the personal jurisdiction of this Court, but Google otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 24.
- 25. The allegations in Paragraph 25 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or denial. To the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 25, except admits that—for purposes of this action only—venue is proper in this District. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 26. The allegations in Paragraph 26 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or denial. To the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 26.
- 27. Google admits that Pure Sweat Basketball Inc. is an Illinois corporation and purports to maintain its principal place of business in Crystal Lake, Illinois, but is without

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 27.

- 28. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 28.
- 29. Google admits that Peekya App Services, Inc. is a Florida corporation and purports to maintain its principal place of business in Sarasota, Florida, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Google admits that Scalisco LLC purports to maintain its principal place of business in Seattle, Washington, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 30.
- Google admits that LittleHoots, LLC purports to maintain its principal place of 31. business in Prairie Village, Kansas, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 31.
- 32. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 32.
- 33. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 33.
- 34. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 34, except admits that Google LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Mountain View, California, and that Google LLC is a party to the Google Play Developer Distribution Agreement. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 35. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 35, except admits that Google Ireland Limited is organized under the laws of Ireland with its principal place of business in Dublin, Ireland, is a subsidiary of Google LLC, and is a party to the Google Play Developer Distribution Agreement.

- 48. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 48, except admits that most mobile device manufacturers do not develop their own OSs. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 48 about Apple.
- 49. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 49, except that Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 49 about Apple.
- 50. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 50 about Apple, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 51. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 51, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 52. Google denies the allegations in each of the two paragraphs labeled as Paragraph 52.
- 53. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 53, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 54. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 54.
- 55. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 55, except admits one or more defendants have entered into agreements with OEMs. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 56. Google admits that it "wants to put Android in as many hands as possible."

  Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 56 about Apple. Google denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 56, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Complaint contains two paragraphs labeled as Paragraph No. 52.

- 57. Google admits the allegations in Paragraph 57, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 58. Google admits the allegations in Paragraph 58, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 59. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 59, except admits that developers are generally required to enter into the Google Play Developer Distribution Agreement ("DDA") to distribute apps through Google Play. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 60. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 60, except admits that developers set prices for the products sold in the Google Play Store. Google also admits that Google Play currently allows a price range for paid apps and in-app products sold in the United States. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 61, except admits that Android users 61. download and install Android-compatible mobile apps through the Google Play Store, and respectfully refers to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 62. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 62.
  - 63. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 63.
- 64. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 64, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 65. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 65, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 66. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 66, except admits that one or more defendants have entered into agreements with OEMs, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.

- 67. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 67, except admits that one or more defendants have entered into agreements with OEMs, and respectfully refers to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 68. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 68, except admits that one or more defendants have optional revenue-sharing and various license agreements with OEMs, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 69. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 69, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 70. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 70, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 71. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 71, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 72. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 72, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 73. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 73, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 74. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 74, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 75. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 75, except admits that Google Play Services includes a set of APIs that allow apps to integrate with other apps and with Google services. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 76. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 76, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 77. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 77, except admits that Google Play and Google Play Services are offered together in order to ensure that apps function properly.

- 78. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 78, except admits that one or more defendants have optional revenue sharing agreements with OEMs and phone carriers, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 79. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 79, except admits that one or more defendants have entered into agreements with phone carriers, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 80. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 80, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 81. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 81, except admits that DDA, Section 4.5 states, "You may not use Google Play to distribute or make available any Product that has a purpose that facilitates the distribution of software applications and games for use on Android devices outside of Google Play."
- 82. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 82, except admits that developers are generally required to enter into the DDA to distribute apps through Google Play and that each of the defendants, except Google Payment Corp., is a party to the DDA. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 83. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 83, except admits that DDA, Section 4.5 states, "You may not use Google Play to distribute or make available any Product that has a purpose that facilitates the distribution of software applications and games for use on Android devices outside of Google Play." Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 84. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 84, except admits that one or more defendants have been a party to DDAs since at least 2009 and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 85. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 85, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.

- 86. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 86, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 87. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 87.
- 88. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 88, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 89. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 89, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their content.
- 90. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 90, and respectfully refers the Court to the referenced documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents, except admits that Google Play offers over 3 million apps, and that the large number of apps available through Google Play attracts users, as well as developers who choose to offer apps on Google Play because of the benefit of discoverability that Google Play provides.
- 91. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 91, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 92. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 92, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 93. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 93, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 94. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 94.
- 95. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 95, except admits that OEMs frequently pre-install their own app stores on Android smartphones running Google Mobile Services, including on the default home screen.
- 96. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 96, except admits that one or more defendants have entered into revenue sharing agreements with Samsung, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 97. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 97, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.

- 98. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 98.
- 99. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 99, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 100. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 100 and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 100 about Amazon's app store.
- 101. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 101, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 102. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 102.
  - 103. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 103.
  - 104. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 104.
- 105. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 105, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. Google also avers that evidence shows that users can and do switch and multi-home among and between mobile and nonmobile ecosystems, including between Android and iOS.
- 106. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 106, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 107. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 107, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 108. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 108.
- 109. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 109, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. Google admits that mobile manufacturers have a choice whether to enter into a MADA to distribute devices with proprietary Google apps, including the Google Play Store, and that these agreements contain various provisions regarding placement of certain Google apps for the initial out-of-the-box settings, though the specific terms have changed over time.

- 110. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 110, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 111. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 111, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 112. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 112, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 113. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 113, except admits that one or more defendants offer a Premier Device Program. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 114. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 114, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 115. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 115, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 116. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 116, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 116 regarding what OnePlus told Epic.
- 117. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 117, and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 117 regarding what LG told Epic.
- 118. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 118, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 119. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 119, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 120. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 120, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 121. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 121.

- 122. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 122, except admits that in certain instances sideloaded apps do not automatically update in the background on the Android operating system.
  - 123. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 123.
- 124. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 124, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 125. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 125.
  - 126. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 126.
  - 127. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 127.
- 128. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 128, except is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding Amazon's Appstore, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 129. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 129.
- 130. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 130, and respectfully refers the Court to the language contained in the screenshot in Paragraph 130 for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 131. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 131, except admits that starting with Android O, users were able to authorize installs from one source at a time. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 132. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 132, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 133. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 133, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 134. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 134, and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 134 about the contents of an independent study.

1	149.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 149, and respectfully refers the Court
2	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
3	150.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 150, and respectfully refers the Court
4	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
5	151.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 151.
6	152.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 152.
7	153.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 153, and respectfully refers the Court
8	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
9	154.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 154, and respectfully refers the Court
10	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
11	155.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 155.
12	156.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 156, and respectfully refers the Court
13	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
14	157.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 157, and respectfully refers the Court
15	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
16	158.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 158, and respectfully refers the Court
17	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
18	159.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 159, and respectfully refers the Court
19	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
20	160.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 160.
21	161.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 161.
22	162.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 162.
23	163.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 163, and respectfully refers the Court
24	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. Google is without
25	knowledge or	information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph
26	163 about An	nazon Underground.
27		
28		

- 178. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 178, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 179. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 179. Google avers that beginning on January 1, 2018, the service fee on subscriptions was reduced from 30% to 15% in the second year, and that beginning on January 1, 2022, the service fee on such subscriptions was reduced to 15% from day one of the subscription. Google further avers that beginning on July 1, 2021, the service fee was reduced to 15% for the first \$1 million of developer earnings on digital goods or services every developer earns each year.
  - 180. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 180.
  - 181. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 181.
- 182. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 182 about the operations of the Epic Games Store.
- 183. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 183, and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 183 about comments from Epic's CEO.
  - 184. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 184.
- 185. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 185, except admits that one or more defendants receive a payment for in-app purchases, and have charged up to 30% as a service fee. Google avers that beginning on January 1, 2018, the service fee on subscriptions was reduced from 30% to 15% in the second year, and that as of January 1, 2022, the service fee on such subscriptions is 15% from day one of the subscription. Google further avers that beginning on July 1, 2021, the service fee was reduced to 15% for the first \$1 million of developer earnings on digital goods or services every developer earns each year. Google is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 185 about comments from Epic's CEO.
- 186. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 186, except admits that on August 13, 2020, Epic breached the DDA between Epic and Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google

- 199. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 199, except admits that Google users use Google Play Billing for purchases through Google Play with some exceptions, including purchasing physical goods and purchasing digital content elsewhere that is consumed within the app. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 199.
  - 200. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 200.
- 201. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 201, except admits that developers are generally required to enter into the DDA to distribute apps through Google Play. Google respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 202. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 202, except admits that developers are not required to use Google Play Billing for in-app purchases in South Korea, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 203. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 203, and respectfully refers the Court to the cited documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
  - 204. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 204.
  - 205. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 205.
  - 206. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 206.
  - 207. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 207.
  - 208. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 208.
  - 209. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 209.
- 210. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 210, except admits that one or more defendants or Google LLC subsidiaries earns revenues through displaying advertising they sell on third-party sites; ads on preinstalled apps; ads within the Play Store; and AdMob.
  - 211. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 211.
  - 212. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 212.
  - 213. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 213.
  - 214. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 214.

1	215.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 215.
2	216.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 216.
3	217.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 217, and is without knowledge or
4	information s	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 217 about
5	"Paysafecard	s."
6	218.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 218.
7	219.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 219.
8	220.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 220.
9	221.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 221.
10	222.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 222.
11	223.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 223.
12	224.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 224, except admits it engages in
13	interstate con	nmerce.
14	225.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 225 and respectfully refers the Court to
15	the reference	d documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
16	226.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 226.
17	227.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 227.
18	228.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 228. Google avers that the allegations
19	in the fourth a	and fifth sentences are legal conclusions not subject to admission or denial. To the
20	extent a respo	onse is required, Google admits that U.S. app developers are permitted to sell apps
21	via Google Pl	lay to non-U.S. users.
22	229.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 229.
23	230.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 230.
24	231.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 231.
25	232.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 232.
26	233.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 233.
27		
28		

1	234.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 234, except admits Google Play
2	competes with	h Apple's App Store.
3	235.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 235, except admits that Google Play
4	Billing is requ	aired for in-app purchases of digital content on apps distributed through the Google
5	Play Store.	
6	236.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 236.
7	237.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 237.
8	238.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 238. Google avers that the allegations
9	in the fourth a	and fifth sentences are legal conclusions not subject to admission or denial. To the
10	extent a respo	onse is required, Google admits that U.S. app developers are permitted to sell apps
11	via Google Pl	ay to non-U.S. users.
12	239.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 239.
13	240.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 240.
14	241.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 241.
15	242.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 242.
16	243.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 243. To the extent Paragraph 243
17	contains Plair	ntiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
18	244.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 244. To the extent Paragraph 244
19	contains Plair	ntiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
20	245.	To the extent Paragraph 245 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of their own
21	claims, no res	sponse is required. To the extent a response is required, Google denies the
22	allegations in	Paragraph 245.
23	246.	The allegations in Paragraph 246 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
24	denial. To the	e extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 246.
25	247.	The allegations in Paragraph 247 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
26	denial. To the	e extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 247.
27		
28		
		- 23 -

1	248	The allegations in Paragraph 248 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
2	denial. To	the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 248.
3	249	The allegations in Paragraph 249 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
4	denial. To	the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 249.
5	250	
6	denial. To	the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 250.
7	251	
8		the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 251.
9	252	
10		the extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 252.
11	253	
12		ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. To the extent
13		253 contains Plaintiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
14	254	
15		e Payment Corp. maintain their headquarters in California. To the extent Paragraph
16		ns Plaintiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
17	255	
18		of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
19	250	
20		aintiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
21	25	
22		vith Apple's App Store.
23	258	
24	259	
25	260	
26	26.	
27	262	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 262.
28		

1	263.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 263.
2	264.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 264.
3	265.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 265.
4	266.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 266.
5	267.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
6	Paragraph of l	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
7	268.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 268. To the extent Paragraph 268
8	contains Plain	ntiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
9	269.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 269.
10	270.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 270.
11	271.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 271.
12	272.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 272.
13	273.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 273.
14	274.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 274.
15	275.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 275.
16	276.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 276.
17	277.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 277.
18	278.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
19	Paragraph of	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
20	279.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 279. To the extent Paragraph 279
21	contains Plain	ntiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
22	280.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 280.
23	281.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 281.
24	282.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 282.
25	283.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 283.
26	284.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 284.
27	285.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 285.
28		

1	286.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 286.
2	287.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 287.
3	288.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 288.
4	289.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
5	Paragraph of	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
6	290.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 290. To the extent Paragraph 290
7	contains Plair	ntiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
8	291.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 291.
9	292.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 292.
10	293.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 293.
11	294.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 294.
12	295.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 295.
13	296.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 296.
14	297.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 297.
15	298.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 298.
16	299.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 299.
17	300.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
18	Paragraph of	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
19	301.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 301.
20	302.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 302.
21	303.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 303, and respectfully refers the Court
22	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
23	304.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 304.
24	305.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 305.
25	306.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 306.
26	307.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 307.
27	308.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 308.
28		

- 1		
1	309.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
2	Paragraph of 1	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
3	310.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 310.
4	311.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 311.
5	312.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 312.
6	313.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 313.
7	314.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 314.
8	315.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 315.
9	316.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 316.
10	317.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 317.
11	318.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 318.
12	319.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 319.
13	320.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
14	Paragraph of	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
15	321.	Google denies the allegation in Paragraph 321. To the extent Paragraph 321
16	contains Plain	tiffs' characterization of their own claims, no response is required.
17	322.	The allegations in Paragraph 322 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
18	denial. To the	e extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 322.
19	323.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 323.
20	324.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 324.
21	325.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 325.
22	326.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 326.
23	327.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 327.
24	328.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 328.
25	329.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 329.
26	330.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 330.
27	331.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 331.
28		

1	332.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 332.
2	333.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
3	Paragraph of	Plaintiff's Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
4	334.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 334.
5	335.	The allegations in Paragraph 335 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
6	denial. To th	e extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 335.
7	336.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 336.
8	337.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 337.
9	338.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 338.
10	339.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 339, and respectfully refers the Court
11	to the cited do	ocuments for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
12	340.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 340.
13	341.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 341.
14	342.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 342.
15	343.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 343.
16	344.	Google reasserts and hereby incorporates by reference its responses to each
17	Paragraph of	Plaintiffs' Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
18	345.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 345.
19	346.	The allegations in Paragraph 346 are legal conclusions not subject to admission or
20	denial. To th	e extent a response is required, Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 346.
21	347.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 347.
22	348.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 348.
23	349.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 349.
24	350.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 350.
25	351.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 351.
26	352.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 352.
27	353.	Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 353.
28		

1	354. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 354.	
2	355. Google denies the allegations in Paragraph 355.	
3	Answer to Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief: To the extent that an answer is required to the	
4	Prayer for Relief, Google denies the allegations contained therein. Google further states that	
5	Plaintiffs are not entitled to any remedies sought in the Complaint.	
6	Answer to Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial: Google admits that Plaintiffs seek trial	
7	by jury on issues so triable.	
8	AFFIRMATIVE OR ALTERNATIVE DEFENSES	
9	In addition to the reasons stated above, Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief, and Google is	
10	entitled to judgment in its favor and against Plaintiffs, on the basis of the following Affirmative or	
11	Alternative Defenses, pleaded in the alternative to the extent they may be found to be	
12	inconsistent. In asserting these defenses, Google does not assume the burden of proof on any	
13	issue that would otherwise rest on Plaintiff. Further, Google reserves all affirmative defenses	
14	under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(c) and any other defenses, at law or in equity, that may	
15	now exist or in the future be available based on discovery and further factual investigation in this	
16	case.	
17	<u>First Defense</u>	
18	(Failure to State a Cause of Action)	
19	The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
20	Second Defense	
21	(Legitimate Business Justifications)	
22	Any and all of Google's actions alleged by Plaintiffs were lawful, justified,	
23	procompetitive, and carried out in Google's legitimate business interests and constitute bona fide	
24	competitive activity, the benefits of which significantly outweigh any alleged anticompetitive	
25	effects.	
26	Third Defense	
27	(Relief Contrary to Public Interest, Inequitable, Impractical, and Unworkable)	
28		
	- 29 -	
	ANSWERS AND DEFENSES TO DEVELOPERS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT	

1	The relief sought by Plaintiffs would be contrary to the public interest, harm consumers,
2	and is otherwise inequitable, impractical, and unworkable.
3	<u>Fourth Defense</u>
4	(International Comity)
5	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of international comity,
6	insofar as Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief affecting transactions and conduct occurring outside
7	U.S. jurisdiction.
8	<u>Fifth Defense</u>
9	(Failure to Join an Indispensable Party)
10	The Complaint fails to join necessary and indispensable parties, including, but not limited
11	to, consumers and developers of apps distributed for free on Google Play.
12	Sixth Defense
13	(Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act)
14	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the Foreign Trade Antitrust
15	Improvements Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6a, insofar as Plaintiffs make claims concerning transactions or
16	alleged conduct involving trade or commerce with foreign nations outside U.S. jurisdiction.
17	Seventh Defense
18	(Noerr-Pennington Doctrine)
19	Plaintiffs' causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by the <i>Noerr-Pennington</i>
20	doctrine.
21	Eighth Defense
22	(Mitigation of Damages)
23	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by their failure to mitigate damages, if
24	any.
25	<u>Ninth Defense</u>
26	(Lack of Standing – Indirect Purchasers)
27	
28	
	- 30 -

1	Each of Plaintiffs' claims or causes of action are barred, wholly or in part, to the extent
2	that they did not transact directly with Google on the Play Store, because they are indirect
3	purchasers and barred from maintaining an action under state and federal antitrust laws from
4	seeking injuries in that capacity.
5	Tenth Defense
6	(Estoppel)
7	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of estoppel.
8	Eleventh Defense
9	(Statute of Limitations)
10	Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the statute of limitations applicable to
11	their respective claims.
12	<u>Twelfth Defense</u>
13	(Dormant Commerce Clause)
14	Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the Dormant Commerce Clause.
15	RESERVATION OF DEFENSES
16	Google reserves the right to assert additional defenses when it determines the particulars
17	of Plaintiffs' claims, which are not apparent on the face of the Complaint. Google reserves the
18	right to amend this Answer to add, delete, or modify defenses based upon legal theories that may
19	be or will be divulged through clarification of Plaintiffs' Complaint, through discovery, or
20	through further legal analysis of Plaintiffs' position in this litigation.
21	JURY DEMAND
22	Google demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.
23	Respectfully submitted,
24	Dated: February 11, 2022  O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Ian Simmons
25	Daniel M. Petrocelli Benjamin G. Bradshaw
26	Stephen J. McIntyre
27	Respectfully submitted,
28	By: <u>/s/ Ian Simmons</u>
	- 31 -

EDS AND DEFENSES TO DEVELOPE

1	Ian Simmons
2	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian C. Rocca
3	Richard S. Taffet
4	Sujal J. Shah Michelle Park Chiu Minna La Norania
5	Minna Lo Naranjo Rishi P. Satia
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	By: /s/ Brian C. Rocca
8	Brian C. Rocca
9	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
10	Glenn D. Pomerantz Kuruvilla Olasa
11	Kyle W. Mach Justin P. Raphael
12	Justin P. Raphael Emily C. Curran-Huberty Jonathan I. Kravis
13	
14	By: <u>/s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz</u> Glenn D. Pomerantz
15	
16	Counsel for Defendants
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 32 -

## **ECF ATTESTATION** I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories hereto. Dated: February 11, 2022 /s/ Kuruvilla Olasa Kuruvilla Olasa By: - 33 -